

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## SANDISK CORPORATION,

No. C 09-02737 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

## REQUEST TO POTENTIAL RULE 706 EXPERTS

LSI CORPORATION

Defendant.

## 14 LSI CORPORATION AND AGERE 15 SYSTEMS, INC.,

## Counterclaimants,

17

SANDISK CORPORATION,

## Counterdefendants.

21        In addition to the fee proposals requested at yesterday's hearing, the two Rule 706 expert  
22 candidates should advise the Court (in the same letter) whether they have written any previous  
23 expert reports or provided expert testimony that, in their view, would unduly restrict their  
24 freedom of movement in arriving at an opinion in this case. The candidates should also advise the  
25 Court if they have any pending expert arguments in other matters that would do likewise. The  
26 parties shall ensure that the two expert candidates receive this request in due time.

28 || Dated: March 4, 2010.

W<sup>m</sup> Alsup

---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE